# FT JOHNSON MIDDLE 1825 Camp Road Charleston, South Carolina 29412 6-8 Middle School GRADES ENROLLMENT 406 Students David A. Parler 843-762-2740 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. Maria Goodloe 843-937-6319 BOARD CHAIR Mr. Gregg Meyers 843-720-8714 THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2003 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: AVERAGE Absolute Ratings of Middle Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory U 9 29 10 IMPROVEMENT RATING: UNSATISFACTORY ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: This school met 13 out of 21 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

NO

### PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

**Our School** 

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2002	Average	Good	N/A
2003 2004	Average	Unsatisfactory	No

## PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Mathematics English/Language Arts Mathematics English/Language Arts

Middle Schools with Students like Ours

Mathematics English/Language Arts Mathematics English/Language Arts

Definition of Critical Terms

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Below Basic Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

## EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	36	113	32
Percent satisfied with learning environment	100.0%	68.8%	83.9%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	100.0%	73.9%	78.1%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	83.3%	78.9%	77.4%

Ft Johnson Middle								1001035
PACT PERFORMANCE	BY GR	DUP						/>
		A 1st ing	/	and a long to the state of the	/	Proficient of	Advanced ole Prof	cient and ci
	/11	JELL LEST	Tested old Bi	CMPC	Basic oh	orofici	NAMALL OF	cient varce
	ENO	19 ol	7/ 1/8	all oh	0/0	0/0	7km 010 640	Mar.
	/			nlish/Lar	nguage A		/	/ 9
All students	399	97.5	28.9	52.0	17.4	1.7	19.1	17.6
Gender	333	37.0	20.0	32.0	17.4	1.7	10.1	17.0
Male	227	97.4	31.9	51.0	15.7	1.5	17.2	17.6
Female	172	97.7	24.7	53.4	19.9	2.1	21.9	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	168	99.4	16.7	50.7	28.7	4.0	32.7	17.6
African-American	223	96.0	38.3	52.3	9.3	N/A	9.3	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	3	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Hispanic	5	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disability Status								
Not disabled	288	98.6	25.9	50.6	21.3	2.3	23.6	17.6
Disabled	111	94.6	37.9	56.3	5.7	N/A	5.7	17.6
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant	399	97.5	28.9	52.0	17.4	1.7	19.1	17.6
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient	3	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	396	97.5	28.4	52.3	17.5	1.7	19.3	17.6
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	209	97.1	41.8	50.8	7.3	N/A	7.3	17.6
Full-pay meals	190	97.9	15.6	53.2	27.7	3.5	31.2	17.6
				V				
All atual and a		00.0	00.0	Mathe		0.0	47.0	45.5
All students	399	99.0	38.3	44.4	13.7	3.6	17.3	15.5
<b>Gender</b> Male	007	00.4	34.3	47.1	13.3	5.2	10.6	15.5
waie Female	227	99.1					18.6	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group	172	98.8	43.9	40.5	14.2	1.4	15.5	15.5
White	168	99.4	21.9	46.4	23.8	7.9	31.8	15.5
African-American	223	98.7	51.5	42.5	6.0	N/A	6.0	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	3	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Hispanic	5	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status	IN/A	0.0	IN/PA	11/74	IV/A	IV/A	IN//A	10.0
Not disabled	288	100.0	36.1	43.6	16.2	4.1	20.3	15.5
Disabled	111	96.4	44.6	46.7	6.5	2.2	8.7	15.5
Migrant Status		50.1			3.0		J.,	10.0
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	399	99.0	38.3	44.4	13.7	3.6	17.3	15.5
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient	3	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-limited English proficient	396	99.0	38.5	44.1	13.8	3.7	17.4	15.5
Socio-Economic Status								
0 1 11 1	000	00.0	50 F	440	4.0	^ -		45.5

50.5

25.6

44.0 44.9

22.7

5.5 29.5

6.8

15.5

15.5

99.0

98.9

209

190

Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

## PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		Enroll	9404 0/0	0/086	10,	28th 01/2	6/2	ALC 0/0 Profi
		_ • •			/Langua	ge Arts		
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
20	Grade 6	135	N/A	19.8	55.0	19.1	6.1	25.2
	Grade 7	123	N/A	19.1	57.4	20.9	2.6	23.5
•	Grade 8	127	N/A	16.2	43.6	35.9	4.3	40.2
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	Grade 6	128	99.2	33.9	49.6	13.0	3.5	16.5
	Grade 7	140	95.7	25.2	59.3	15.4	N/A	15.4
	Grade 8	131	97.7	27.7	46.4	24.1	1.8	25.9

		Mathematics							
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2002	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
20	Grade 6	135	N/A	41.2	41.2	11.5	6.1	17.6	
	Grade 7	123	N/A	53.9	34.8	8.7	2.6	11.3	
•	Grade 8	127	N/A	29.9	48.7	15.4	6.0	21.4	
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2003	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
20	Grade 6	128	99.2	34.5	40.5	18.1	6.9	25.0	
	Grade 7	140	98.6	38.6	44.1	14.2	3.1	17.3	
	Grade 8	131	99.2	41.7	48.7	8.7	0.9	9.6	

## SCHOOL PROFILE

c	ur School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n= 406)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	62.4%	Up from 31.4%	12.3%	14.4%
Retention rate	N/A	N/A	2.7%	2.3%
Attendance rate Eligible for gifted and talented	95.0%	Down from 96.3%	95.0%	95.2%
	13.8%	Up from 11.4%	12.7%	13.6%
On academic plans On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech Older than usual for grade	27.4%	Up from 26.9%	15.2%	14.1%
	43.3%	Up from 12.9%	4.2%	4.9%
Suspended or expelled	1.0%	Down from 4.3%	1.3%	1.3%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	Down from 0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 36)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	47.2%	Up from 46.9%	45.2%	47.1%
	77.8%	Up from 75.0%	82.9%	82.5%
Highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Teachers returning from previous year	79.7%	Up from 75.6%	84.0%	84.3%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	96.8%	Up from 96.2%	94.9%	95.0%
	\$38,230	Up 2.4%	\$38,921	\$39,924
Prof. development days/teacher	16.2 days	Up from 13.6 days	10.6 days	10.7 days
School				
Principal's years at school	2.0	Up from 1.0	3.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	21.4 to 1	Down from 21.7 to 1	20.9 to 1	21.0 to 1
Prime instructional time	90.2%	Down from 91.0%	88.6%	88.9%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$6,339	Up 17.1%	\$5,721	\$5,854
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	60.3%	Down from 63.1%	62.0%	62.0%
	Good	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	93.6%	Up from 73.7%	96.0%	94.8%
	no	N/A	yes	yes

<sup>\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

			• •	
Δhhr	eviation	e tor N	liccina	I lata

		•	
N/A Not Applicable	N/C Not Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficient Sample

#### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Ft. Johnson Middle School is a community school with a proud history that spans more than 25 years and thousands of graduates. At least three generations have passed through its doors. It has celebrated many successes over the years and will continue to do so in the future. While we enjoy success, we also face challenges as indicated by the information in this report issued by the State Department of Education. We must equip students with the skills necessary to be productive citizens in the twenty-first century. We must also provide a challenging, rigorous curriculum for the students who score Advanced on PACT, while also meeting the needs of the struggling students scoring Below Basic on PACT.

In the 2002-2003 school year, Ft. Johnson made tremendous improvement in academics and school climate through the hard work of the faculty, students, parents, and School Improvement Council. The school was recognized by the District 3 Constituent School Board as the "Most Improved School in District 3" for the largest percentage of students moving out of PACT's Below Basic category. The State Department of Education recognized Ft. Johnson Middle School as a "Palmetto Silver Award Winner" and provided a financial incentive for the honor. The school was granted Autonomous Status for the 2003-2006 school years. The school was awarded \$80,000 in grants to fund technology initiatives for all students. The school was named a Community of Readers Award winner for the third year in a row. Students excelled at the Lowcountry Science Fair, QUEST, and numerous fine arts competitions. Students continue to excel in the Honors program and are well prepared for high school. Special needs students receive the accommodations necessary for academic success.

Ft. Johnson Middle School is a school "reaching for the stars." The renovation and new construction is completed and has enhanced the school climate. We expect another year of increased test scores, high teacher morale, involved and informed parents, and happy, spirited, well-cared for and well-adjusted students.

I encourage all parents to become involved in their child's school.

David A. Parler, Principal

#### DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

### DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.